

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|
| 10/614,558 | 07/07/2003 | David E. Jones | 2037.1010-002 | 5801 | |
| 21005 HAMILTON | 7590 06/18/200 BROOK, SMITH & RE | | EXAM | UNER | |
| 530 VIRGINIA | A ROAD | 71102250, 110. | FOUD, HICHAM B | | |
| P.O. BOX 913 CONCORD: N | 3 4A 01742-9133 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2419 | | |
| | | | WIT BUT | DET HERWINGSE | |
| | | | MAIL DATE 06/18/2009 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s)

| | 7 4 p. 10 a. 11 a. 1 | , .ppoa(o) | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--------------|----|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/614,558 | JONES, DAVID | E. | | | |
| merview dummary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | HICHAM B. FOUD | 2419 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | | |
| (1) <u>HICHAM B. FOUD</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) Mr. Sparrow. | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 11 June 2009. | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative] | | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1. | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Toda in view of Turner</u> . | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g |)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N | I/A. | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The applicant and the examiner discussed the invention and the rejection and no agreement was reached in regard of the proposed amendment (see the attached appendix A).</u> | | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| /Hicham B Foud/ Examiner, Art Unit 2419 | | | | | | |